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## Status of our reports

The Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission explains the respective responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body. Reports prepared by appointed auditors are addressed to non-executive directors/members or officers. They are prepared for the sole use of the audited body. Auditors accept no responsibility to:

- any director/member or officer in their individual capacity; or
- any third party.


## Introduction

1 Our responsibilities are governed by the Audit Commission Code of Audit Practice for Local Government bodies. It determines the nature, level and scope of the work carried out by appointed auditors and underpins all our activities. A revised 2010 code is currently before parliament. When this is available it will be presented to the Audit Committee to provide information and guidance to members of the committee.

2 Under the Code we are required to audit the financial statements and to give our opinion on:

- whether they give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council and of its income and expenditure for the year in question; and
- whether they have been prepared properly in accordance with relevant legislation and applicable accounting standards.

3 We are also required to review whether the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) has been presented in accordance with relevant requirements (the Local Government framework developed by CIPFA in conjunction with the Society of Local Authority Chief Executives (SOLACE): the CIPFA/SOLACE framework).

4 The Code also requires us to issue a conclusion on whether the Council has proper arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources. The Audit Commission has developed criteria for auditors to apply in reaching the value for money conclusion which are closely aligned to the Use of Resources framework.

5 The purpose of this paper is to provide the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as the Council's external auditor.

## 2009/10 Audit

6 A letter setting out the audit fee for 2009/10 was sent to the Council's Chief Executive in April 2009 and was submitted to this committee in May 2009. The letter set out the main risk areas and the work which was proposed to mitigate each one.
7 A more detailed report setting out the arrangements relating to the audit of the financial statements will be submitted to the June meeting of this committee. The timing of this report has been scheduled so that results of work on testing internal financial controls can be included. We are liaising with the Council's Internal Audit department over the testing and are planning to rely on work carried out by them where possible to maximise efficiency.
8 See Appendix 1 for an update on the risks included in the fee letter and the work being undertaken.

## Use of Resources Assessment

9 This is the second year of the new Use of Resources assessment. Work is underway. Indicative scores will be submitted to our electronic data collection system on 21 April 2010.

10 Evidence for the assessment, in particular if the council believes improved scores can be supported, should be provided by 31 March in order to be evaluated before the submission date.

11 Local moderation of scores will then take place before final scores are confirmed; these will be officially published after the end of July.

## Data Quality

12 As part of the Use of Resources assessment we review the Council's arrangements for producing relevant and reliable data and information to support decision making and manage performance. We undertake spot checks on a number of performance indicators, particularly where the information is used to judge the delivery of strategic objectives.

13 We will review the management arrangements and system used to collect and process data in accordance with the performance indicator definition. We will also test check the derivation of the data and the calculation of the figure reported.
14 For 2009/10 we will review the following indicators:

- NI 179 - Value for Money (The total net value of ongoing cash-releasing value for money gains that have impacted since the start of the 2008-09 financial year)
- NI 158 - Decent Council Homes (The number of non decent council homes and the proportion this represents of the total council housing stock.)
- NI 130 - Social Care Clients receiving Self Directed Support (The number of adults, older people and carers receiving self-directed support in the year to 31st March as a percentage of clients receiving community based services and carers receiving carer's specific services aged 18 or over.)
- BV 72 and BV 73 - Housing repairs performance (The percentage of urgent local repairs completed within the prescribed time limit and the average time taken to complete non urgent responsive repairs).

15
Work is underway and will be completed before the end of March 2010.

## Certification of Grant Claims

16 The Audit Commission's current arrangements for certifying claims and returns set out a de-minimis level of $£ 100,000$ below which no work is required. Between $£ 100,000$ and $£ 500,000$ auditors undertake limited tests to agree claim entries to underlying records. For claims over $£ 500,000$ auditors follow the procedures set out in the Audit Commission's certification instructions for individual claims. The certification instructions are developed in conjunction with the grant paying bodies.

17 The largest claim audited at Brighton and Hove City Council is the Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit. For the 2009/10 claim we have undertaken some early testing which should reduce the amount of work needed later in the year.

18 We are required to raise issues arising from our audit of the Council's more significant grant claims to the Audit Committee as the body formally charged with governance. Our annual report on the certification of 2008/09 claims and returns at the Council has been included as a separate item on the agenda. This report summarises the findings from the certification of 2008/09 claims. It includes the key messages arising from our assessment of the Council's arrangements for preparing claims and returns, and information on 2008/09 claims that were amended or qualified as part of our audit.

## International Financial Reporting Standards

19 In 2010/11 the Council will need to prepare its accounts using International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Accounts for 2009/10 will be prepared and audited using UK GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Standards) as specified in the 2009 Statement of Recommended Practice (SoRP). However they will need to be re-stated under IFRS to act as comparative figures in the 2010/11 financial statements.

20 There are no regulations governing this transitional period, beyond the need to have the comparative figures to the 2010/11 financial statements prepared and audited with the accounts for the year. However there is an indicative timetable for the adoption of IFRS which recommends that councils should follow a number of steps as laid out in the table below:

## Table 1 Indicative timetable

| Stage | Key milestone | Recommended date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Restate 1 April 2009 balance sheet to IFRS | by $31 / 12 / 2009$ |
| 2 | Discuss audit 'sign off' of restated balance sheet | by $31 / 12 / 2009$ |
| 3 | Restate $2009 / 2010$ accounts to IFRS | by $31 / 12 / 2010$ |
| 4 | Discuss audit of restated 2009/2010 accounts | by $31 / 12 / 2010$ |
| 5 | Produce $2010 / 2011$ accounts on IFRS basis | by $30 / 06 / 2011$ |

21 The Council has set up a Project Board to consider its accounting policies and the changes that will be needed under IFRS. There are a number of key areas where a different accounting treatment will be required. Officers have produced a number of proposal papers and we have had some discussions to ensure the approach is in line with our understanding.

22 Officers have not yet reached the stage of a full re-statement of the opening balance sheet. This is not out of line with other authorities. The Audit Commission published a
briefing paper "Countdown to IFRS - Implementation in Local Government" in February 2010 which we have included on the agenda of this meeting for your information. The paper includes information on the role of audit committees in the process.

## Comprehensive Area Assessment

23 The first Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA) results were published at the end of 2009 and the website has generated significant interest. The website name is Oneplace.

Not only does the website help answer the simple question 'how well am I being served by local public services?', but there are detailed performance indicators which will be routinely updated. The indicators are easily found on the Oneplace website link above.

25 The area assessment for the city is published on Oneplace. It is an assessment by six independent inspectorates and tells the public how well local public services are performing in the city and where they could improve. This work is led for the Audit Commission by the CAA Lead, Sandra Prail, and focuses on delivery of the local strategic partnership priorities set out in 'creating a city of opportunities'.

26 The organisational assessment for the city council can also be found on Oneplace. This assessment combines the use of resources work described above with an assessment of how well the council delivers services and whether it has the leadership and capacity to continue to improve (known as managing performance). The organisational assessment combines use of resources and managing performance to provide an overall organisational assessment score.
27 The timetable for CAA 2010 has now been agreed. Opportunities to update the area assessment on Oneplace will be in late June and December. The organisational assessment will be published in December. The CAA Lead is in dialogue with the local strategic partnership about the follow up areas for the 2010 area assessment.
28 We connect our audit work with CAA. We implement COUNT (collect once use numerous times) to prevent duplication. Audit colleagues work closely with the CAA Lead to ensure evidence from the work set out in this report is taken into account where appropriate in the organisational and area assessments.

## The Audit Commission

The Audit Commission is an independent watchdog, driving economy, efficiency and effectiveness in local public services to deliver better outcomes for everyone.
Our work across local government, health, housing, community safety and fire and rescue services means that we have a unique perspective. We promote value for money for taxpayers, auditing the $£ 200$ billion spent by 11,000 local public bodies.
As a force for improvement, we work in partnership to assess local public services and make practical recommendations for promoting a better quality of life for local people.

## Copies of this report

If you require further copies of this report, or a copy in large print, in Braille, on tape, or in a language other than English, please call 08447987070.
© Audit Commission 2008
For further information on the work of the Commission please contact:
Audit Commission, 1st Floor, Millbank Tower, Millbank, London SW1P 4HQ
Tel: 08447981212 Fax: 08447982945 Textphone (minicom): 08447982946
www.audit-commission.gov.uk
Appendix 1 - 2009/10 Audit Risk Areas

| $\text { Appendix } 1$ | 2009/10 Audit Risk Areas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Area Identified | Planned Work | Progress to date | Outcome |
| The Council is to let a long- term contract for capital works and the repairs and maintenance of its housing stock in early 2009/10. Significant savings are expected through this approach. In order to achieve these, the contract must be soundly based and contract management arrangements effective. | We will review the contract arrangements to establish the reasonableness of the savings proposed, how the savings expected are reflected in the longer- term financial plans for the housing revenue account and the effectiveness of the proposed management of the contracts. | Work is currently underway and is expected to be completed by the end of June. <br> A draft project specification has been produced and a setup meeting with the Assistant Director for Housing Management has been provisionally arranged for April 6. <br> The fieldwork for the Housing Repairs and Maintenance contract will also be used to inform our Area Assessment work. This work involves an assessment of the prospects for delivering Decent Homes Standards compliance in the condition of housing stock by the Council's target date of 2013. <br> The work will also monitor progress in establishing the housing local delivery vehicle | A report will be submitted to the June 2010 meeting of this committee. |
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| Risk Area Identified | Planned Work | Progress to date | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | (see below). |  |
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| Risk Area Identified | Planned Work | Progress to date | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | completed by the end of April <br> 2010. |  |
| The waste management PFI (in <br> partnership with a neighbouring <br> local authority) is a high profile <br> arrangement and the operation of <br> effective management of the <br> contract with the service provider <br> is essential. Failure to operate <br> effective contract management <br> could be costly to the Council. | We will undertake a high level <br> overview of the Council's approach <br> in order to ensure the <br> arrangements in place ensure that <br> the Council achieves value for <br> money. This builds on our work at <br> previous audits. | We have attended meetings <br> with officers from the Council <br> and from East Sussex County <br> Council (the neighbouring <br> local authority) to discuss <br> arrangements. We are also <br> taking advice from PFI <br> technical specialists from our <br> central technical unit. | We will continue to discuss <br> issues with officers. This <br> will include accounting <br> arrangements as the <br> introduction of new <br> standards in 2009/10 will <br> affect the valuation and <br> accounting entries. |
| Participation in the Building <br> Schools for the Future (BSF) <br> programme is technically <br> demanding and requires effective <br> project management at all stages <br> from the application phase to <br> completion. The Council will invest <br> a significant amount of money in <br> reaching the application phase and <br> there is a risk that application <br> might not be accepted. | We will undertake a high level <br> overview of the Council's approach <br> in order to ensure the <br> arrangements in place ensure the <br> Council achieves value for money. | We have held a meeting with <br> the BSF project officer and we <br> are waiting for further <br> documentation. This includes <br> examples of BSF project and <br> performance management <br> reports and the latest progress <br>  | A watching brief will <br> continue to be maintained. |
| Young People Board. |  |  |  |

